

Clean Water Program Grants

2022-NDU-CW15 Agenda Page. 13




2022-NDU-CW15

Agenda Page. 13

7. Sketch Sheet

- Please Provide:
- a) location and name of watercourse(s)
 - b) location of existing and proposed project, including distances to watercourses and lot lines
 - c) Location and distance of all buildings, wells, septic systems, lot lines, roadways, etc, and
 - d) any applicable features such as width of buffer strip, length of fencing, and any other dimensions outlined in your project section

Lot: = Concession: - Former Township: -



2022-NDU-CW15 Agenda Page. 13

2022 Project Rating for Cover Crops

Project Code: 2022-NDU-CW15

Project Type: Cover Crop

Rep: J. Pemberton


Criteria	Evaluation of Criteria			Total Available Points	Project Score
	High	Medium	Low		
1. Type of watercourse	<i>Permanent natural/wetland</i>	<i>Intermittent</i>	<i>Private ditch</i>	3	3
2. Type of cover crop	<i>Multi species</i>		<i>Single species</i>	4	4
3. Upland slope	>5%	3% - 5%	<2%	3	1.5
4. Termination time	<i>Spring</i>	<i>Late Fall</i>	<i>Early Fall</i>	3	3
5. Subwatershed health score OR priority subwatershed OR in a WHPA A or B or IPZ 1 or 2	<i>Poor</i> OR <i>IPZ 1</i> OR <i>WHPA A</i>	<i>Fair</i> OR <i>IPZ 2</i> OR <i>WHPA B</i>	<i>Good</i>	6	6
6. Repts overall score				3	3
Sub Total Score				22	20.5
7. Committee's overall project priority				5	
Total Score				27	

Notes:

Adjacent Land Use: Residential, Agricultural

Other notes: _____

2022-NDU-CW16 Agenda Page. 14




2022-NDU-CW16

Agenda Page. 14

7. Sketch Sheet

- Please Provide:
- a) location and name of watercourse(s)
 - b) location of existing and proposed project, including distances to watercourses and lot lines
 - c) Location and distance of all buildings, wells, septic systems, lot lines, roadways, etc, and
 - d) any applicable features such as width of buffer strip, length of fencing, and any other dimensions outlined in your project section

Lot: _____ Concession: _____ Former Township: _____



2022-NDU-CW16 Agenda Page. 14

2022 Project Rating for Well Decommissioning

Project Code: 2022-NDU-CW16
 Project Type: Well Decom
 Rep: J. Pemberton

Criteria	Evaluation of Criteria			Total Available Points	Project Score
	High	Medium	Low		
1. Type of well	Dug – Stone - Tiles	Bored - Drilled	Sandpoint	3	3
2. Distance to well in use OR within Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA) A or B OR Intake Protection Zone (IPZ) 1 or 2	<100m OR WHPA A OR IPZ 1	100m – 300m OR WHPA B OR IPZ 2	>300m	4	4
3. Condition of visible casing/cover on well OR demonstrated water quality impairment as per the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards	E.g. No cover, grade sloping towards well, open annular spacing around casing OR Water Quality samples indicating contamination	E.g. No cover, above grade	E.g. Covered, above grade, no annular spacing around casing	5	5
4. Distance to closest contamination source (e.g. barn, street or driveway run off)	<15m	15m – 30m	>30m	3	3
5. Subwatershed health score and priority subwatershed	Poor	Fair	Good	4	3
6. Reps overall score				3	3
Sub Total Score				22	21
7. Committee's overall project priority				5	
Total Score				27	

Notes:

Adjacent Land Use: Residential

Other notes: _____

2022-APL-CW17 Agenda Page. 15



2022-APL-CW17

Agenda Page. 15

7. Croquis


Veillez indiquer :

- l'emplacement et le nom du (des) cours d'eau;
- l'emplacement de la structure existante et du projet proposé, y compris la distance les séparant des cours d'eau et des limites du terrain;
- l'emplacement de tous les bâtiments, puits, fosses septiques, limites du terrain et chemins, ainsi que les distances;
- toutes les caractéristiques pertinentes, comme la largeur de la bande tampon, la longueur des clôtures, la superficie du pâturage et les autres dimensions précisées dans la section sur le projet.

Lot: _____

Concession: _____

Ancien canton : _____



2022-APL-CW17

Agenda Page. 15

2022 Project Rating for Well Decommissioning

Project Code: 2022-APL-CW17
 Project Type: Well Decom
 Rep: Andhe

Criteria	Evaluation of Criteria			Total Available Points	Project Score
	High	Medium	Low		
1. Type of well	<u>Dug - Stone Tiles</u>	Bored - Drilled	Sandpoint	3	3
2. Distance to well in use OR within Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA) A or B OR Intake Protection Zone (IPZ) 1 or 2	<100m OR WHPA A OR IPZ 1	<u>100m - 300m</u> OR WHPA B OR IPZ 2	>300m	4	3.5
3. Condition of visible casing/cover on well OR demonstrated water quality impairment as per the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards	<u>E.g. No cover, grade sloping towards well, open annular spacing around casing</u> <u>OR</u> <u>Water Quality samples indicating contamination</u>	E.g. No cover, above grade	E.g. Covered, above grade, no annular spacing around casing	5	5
4. Distance to closest contamination source (e.g. barn, street or driveway run off)	<15m	15m - 30m	>30m	3	2.5
5. Subwatershed health score and priority subwatershed	Poor	<u>Fair</u>	Good	4	3
6. Reps overall score				3	3
Sub Total Score				22	20
7. Committee's overall project priority				5	
Total Score				27	

Notes:

Adjacent Land Use: Agricultural

Other notes: Old dug well - 40 year old in black muck -
- poor quality water

2022-NAT-CW18A

Agenda Page. 16




2022-NAT-CW18A

Agenda Page. 16

7. Sketch Sheet

- Please Provide:
- a) location and name of watercourse(s)
 - b) location of existing and proposed project, including distances to watercourses and lot lines
 - c) Location and distance of all buildings, wells, septic systems, lot lines, roadways, etc, and
 - d) any applicable features such as width of buffer strip, length of fencing, and any other dimensions outlined in your project section

Lot: _____ Concession: _____ Former Township: _____



2022-NAT-CW18A

Agenda Page. 16

2022 Project Rating for Well Decommissioning

Project Code: 2022-NAT-CW18A
 Project Type: Well Decommission
 Rep: Bene Lalonde

Criteria	Evaluation of Criteria			Total Available Points	Project Score
	High	Medium	Low		
1. Type of well	Dug - Stone - Tiles	Bored - Drilled	Sandpoint	3	3
2. Distance to well in use OR within Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA) A or B OR Intake Protection Zone (IPZ) 1 or 2	<100m OR WHPA A OR IPZ 1	100m - 300m OR WHPA B OR IPZ 2	>300m	4	4
3. Condition of visible casing/cover on well OR demonstrated water quality impairment as per the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards	E.g. No cover, grade sloping towards well, open annular spacing around casing OR Water Quality samples indicating contamination	E.g. No cover, above grade	E.g. Covered, above grade, no annular spacing around casing	5	5
4. Distance to closest contamination source (e.g. barn, street or driveway run off)	<15m	15m - 30m	>30m	3	2
5. Subwatershed health score and priority subwatershed	Poor	Fair	Good	4	4
6. Reps overall score				3	3
Sub Total Score				22	21
7. Committee's overall project priority				5	
Total Score				27	

Notes:
 Adjacent Land Use: _____
 Other notes: _____

2022-NAT-CW18B Agenda Page. 17




2022-NAT-CW18B

Agenda Page. 17

7. Sketch Sheet

- Please Provide:
- a) location and name of watercourse(s)
 - b) location of existing and proposed project, including distances to watercourses and lot lines
 - c) Location and distance of all buildings, wells, septic systems, lot lines, roadways, etc, and
 - d) any applicable features such as width of buffer strip, length of fencing, and any other dimensions outlined in your project section

Lot: _____ Concession: _____ Former Township: _____



2022-NAT-CW18B

Agenda Page. 17

2022 Project Rating for Erosion Control

Project Code: 2022-NAT-CW18B
 Project Type: Erosion Control
 Rep: Rene Lalonde

Criteria	Evaluation of Criteria			Total Available Points	Project Score
	High	Medium	Low		
1. Type of watercourse	Permanent	Intermittent	Private or roadside ditch	2	2
2. Evidence of erosion	Severe, active bank erosion	Non-severe, active bank erosion	Potential for bank erosion or upland erosion	3	3
3. Bank slopes	>5%	3% - 5%	<2%	3	3
4. Proposed project – SNC Engineering review	Good solution proposed for site conditions	Fair solution proposed for site conditions	Poor solution proposed for site conditions	5	3.5
5. Subwatershed health score and priority subwatershed/ watercourse	Poor	Fair	Good	6	6
6. Reps overall score				3	3
Sub Total Score				22	20.5
7. Committee's overall project priority				5	
Total Score				27	

Notes:

Adjacent Land Use: Residential

Other notes: _____

No mention of 3 m buffer. If funding provided this should be a requirement.

2022-CAS-CW19 Agenda Page. 18

2020 Erosion Control



2022-CAS-CW19 Agenda Page. 18

2022 Erosion Control



2022-CAS-CW19 Agenda Page. 18


7. Sketch Sheet

- Please Provide:
- location and name of watercourse(s)
 - location of existing and proposed project, including distances to watercourses and lot lines
 - Location and distance of all buildings, wells, septic systems, lot lines, roadways, etc, and
 - any applicable features such as width of buffer strip, length of fencing, and any other dimensions outlined in your project section

Lot: _____

Concession: _____

Former Township: _____



2022-CAS-CW19

Agenda Page. 18

2022 Project Rating for Erosion Control

Project Code: 2022-CAS-CW19

Project Type: Stream Bank Erosion

Rep: Jason Symington

Criteria	Evaluation of Criteria			Total Available Points	Project Score
	High	Medium	Low		
1. Type of watercourse	<i>Permanent</i>	<i>Intermittent</i>	<i>Private or roadside ditch</i>	2	2
2. Evidence of erosion	<i>Severe, active bank erosion</i>	<i>Non-severe, active bank erosion</i>	<i>Potential for bank erosion or upland erosion</i>	3	2
3. Bank slopes	>5%	3% - 5%	<2%	3	2
4. Proposed project – SNC Engineering review	<i>Good solution proposed for site conditions</i>	<i>Fair solution proposed for site conditions</i>	<i>Poor solution proposed for site conditions</i>	5	3
5. Subwatershed health score and priority subwatershed/ watercourse	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	6	4
6. Reps overall score				3	2
Sub Total Score				22	15
7. Committee's overall project priority				5	
Total Score				27	

Notes:

Adjacent Land

Use: Residential

Other notes: Note from 2020 application "The photos do not show much erosion and by leaving the site naturalize with deep rooting plants, the "erosion" issue may resolve itself. This site may be a no touch zone as per the subdivision agreement."



Ottawa Rural Clean Water Grant Program

05 22 2381
Supp Agenda Page. 4



05 22 2381 Supp Agenda Page. 4

Wetland Concept Plan



This map and the associated information displayed thereon are to be used for general illustrative purposes only, and is not suitable or intended for navigation, legal, engineering or surveying purposes. Although best efforts have been made to create accuracy, due to the complex and extensive nature of the data, all representations and/or information provided herein are approximate and users should consult the primary data and information sources to confirm the accuracy of the map. The Municipality and the South Nation Conservation Authority, their employees and agents, do not guarantee the accuracy of the map, and will not be liable for any claims for damages or loss arising in use. The user hereby accepts and assumes all inherent risks associated with the use of this map.

This map is produced in part with data provided by the Ontario Geographic Data Exchange under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and the Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2014.